

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.G. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/590,585	09/590,585 06/08/2000		Simon J. Mantell	PC10334A	1013
23013	7590	02/11/2003			·
PFIZER INC	C		EXAMINER		
150 EAST 42 5TH FLOOR	- STOP 49		LEWIS, PATRICK T		
NEW YORK, NY 10017-5612				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1623	
				DATE MAILED: 02/11/2003	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*								
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
		09/590,585	MANTELL ET AL	MANTELL ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Patrick T. Lewis	1623					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence ac	ddress				
- External frame - If the - If NC - Failur - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the property of	136(a). In no event, however, many within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) te, cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	November 2002						
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
•	ion of Claims	Part Part						
	Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 25-42</u> is/are pending in the							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 25-42</u> are subject to restrict	tion and/or election red	uirement					
	ion Papers	and/or election req	unement.					
	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	•					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ approved b)[disapproved by the Examir	ner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
Priority t	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	its have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer	its have been received	in Application No					
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a)).	l Stage				
14) 🔲 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	al application).				
	The translation of the foreign language p Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes							
Attachmen		· •						
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notic	riew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No e of Informal Patent Application (P ⁻ :					

Art Unit: 1623

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I drawn to claims 1-24 and 30 in Paper No. 8 dated November 25, 2002 is acknowledged. Applicant has traversed the restriction requirement on the grounds that the compounds of Group I are novel and unobvious and as such the methods of using said compounds are also novel and unobvious. Under 37 CFR §1.111, the applicant is required to specifically point out the reasons on which he or she bases his or her conclusions that a requirement to restrict is in error. A mere broad allegation that the requirement is in error does not comply with the requirement of this rule. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore maintained.

2. Applicant is further required to elect a single species drawn to one of the compounds as disclosed on pages 43-75 of the specification as set forth herein below:

Preparation 1

Preparation 2

Preparation 3

Preparation 4

Preparation 5

Preparation 6

Art Unit: 1623

Preparation 7

Preparation 8

Preparation 9

Preparation 10

Preparation 11

Preparation 12

Preparation 13

Preparation 14

Preparation 15

Preparation 16

Preparation 17

Preparation 18

Preparation 19

Preparation 20

Preparation 21

Preparation 22

Preparation 23

Preparation 24

Preparation 25

Preparation 26

Preparation 27

Preparation 28

Art Unit: 1623

Preparation 29.

3. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species selected from one of Preparations 1-29, even though this requirement is traversed.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1623

Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick T. Lewis whose telephone number is 703-305-4043. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 703-308-4624. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Patrick T. Lewis, PhD Examiner Art Unit 1623

ptl February 10, 2003 James O. Wilson

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600